

I. The meeting was called to order by President Prefontaine at 9:26am

II. Roll Call

Absent: Neil Barembaum

Proxies: Sharon Smith for Todd Newkirk (CFL), Molly Chertock for Tania Ayoub (OCSL)

III. Minutes from January 2014 approved unanimously without correction.

IV. **Officer Reports**

President Prefontaine

Congratulations to Chris Wolf, who is teaching in London. We will be visiting him soon.

Thanks to Area 2 for a great State Tournament.

There were a couple of protests at State. With no May meeting, we pieced together what we have.

Two evidence challenges, two unfortunate disqualifications in OI. CHSSA had ruled the piece performed to be illegal, but two students competed with it nonetheless. Our penalties are not always on the correct target. We have been examining it. Another OI, that did not meet the definition of a performance, was also disqualified. We had a cartoon in the program that a coach took offense to due to gender prejudice; we talked and rectified the situation. Satire is difficult to swallow at times.

We have a new scholarship; California School Librarians Assoc. wishes to have an essay contest.

Expository qualifiers will likely be the ones in the first contest. They would like to present the award at the awards ceremony. No details thus far, but we are excited about the possibility.

Condolences to Chuck Ballingall whose mother passed away recently.

Congratulations to Ron Underwood for his tenth diamond from the NFL.

Carmendale Fernandes' birthday was a success -- thank you Hoon and Shirley for attending. It was wonderful that the current Fremont High School team showed up with their coach to honor her.

Teri is making business cards for those that require them. Please let me know if you feel you need them. Art and layout are complete.

Ad Hoc By-law committee met, and we are trying to make sure that the descriptions of duties are correct to our reality. Please review so we can come to an agreement on practice. We need the committee chairs to have descriptions also. This housekeeping process will be ongoing until work is done -- then, hopefully, the committee will meet only once each year to update.

Vice President of Activities Fraser

State Tournament 2015 is at Vista Murrieta. I visited the campus in May. Great site! It is a beautiful campus with nice people. I was very impressed. We need to make sure we take care of their campus. Please share the expected ethic with your teams. The campus is difficult to get to...no easy airport, one hour in each direction. Hotels are far away. Temecula is expensive and I am working on acquiring those room blocks now. PLEASE stay in the blocks.

Lapierre: This will be a great opportunity for all. Once you are on the campus we are going to make it so you are comfortable all day. Hotels will be 20 minutes away.

Keller-Firestone: Will there be a place for students to leave their tournament attire?

State 2014 was awesome! They have not hosted in years. Well done by all in the YFL. Michelle, Zack, Caiti, Reed, Ralph, thank you.

To compete at State Quals, dues need to be paid two weeks before.

MARCH 12, 2015 on line registration

MARCH 19, 2015 postmark

State 2016 will be earlier than in the past. (April 15)

Graber: Can we see all league schedules so that we can share best practices?

Good idea. I will collect that information.

Thank you for the best tab rooms in a long time at State 2014. They made it happen with all the insanity including the power outage that occurred. Thank you all so much.

Vice President of Curriculum Prichard

We are going to show you a rough cut of our new DVD on Parli. Thank you to my husband for helping set it up! This might be our best ever DVD. *(DVD shown)*

We had a great meeting. Welcome CURTIS Curtis from Area 4. Starting in January we will be adding Janet Wilford. This will be the first time in a while that all four areas are represented on the committee. *(Applause.)*

The Parli video will be distributed in January, a two DVD set is being produced. It is divided between instruction and examples. We have a semi final round to show. The league presidents will pass it out. It will be free with CHSSA membership, then \$30 for the set after January. We had great coach interviews, great packaging, and it will be very good for coach and student at all levels. We taped the prep and captured some great practices.

We would like to engage more to the Common Core. Lessons are pretty huge so we asked ourselves how speaking and listening is crucial to the Common Core. What can we do to help teachers who are need of knowledge about what speaking and listening are? It has been the "forgotten" standard in the past and we want it represented better with Common Core. We would like to do this in August in 2015. We are searching for PD possibilities to earn credits and tie speaking and listening to the Core. I am going to work on UC Davis. We thought about SJ State University or Santa Clara, too.

We would like to have presenters to cover some key areas.

GRABER: We have been given lists of approved PD, why can't we use CHSSA? How can we make Rita a provider?

I don't know, but we are researching just that.

Common Core lessons that can be adapted across the curriculum, questioning strategies, graphic organizers, etc. are what the committee is working towards.

MUNSELL: Performance tasks are what are being asked for...can we get those?

Yes, no problem. We want specific lessons to meet those goals.

GRABER: Can we revisit the UC a-g process?

Yes, we have tried in the past, but anyone can do that. I need you to email me your ideas, connections, what have you, so that I can collect the networks.

I am going to ask Jessica to share out the need for data.

PEROFF: 62 coaches participated in our survey. My location was probably part of the reason. We had four suggested projects to gauge what people wanted. Top was Judge Training DVD.

PRICHARD: We want to make two DVD's, in the studio environment, one for speech and for debate. *(loong list of goals and future desires shared)*

PEROFF: Hardcopy DVDs were more desired. JOT was liked. Turn It In was overwhelming wanted. Our league did a trial run and about half of the coaches participated.

Treasurer Barebaum

Treasure report attached

Secretary/Editor Niemi

No report

Historian Underwood

No report

V. Area Chair Reports**Area 1 Chair Keller-Firestone**

Thank you all so much for making any problem State tournaments be hosted by Area 1 (*reference to 2016*). We appreciate it. However, there is a plague upon our house!

Area 2 Chair Darling

Great job YFL.

Area 3 Chair Kindred

No report, we are awesome.

Area 4 Chair Munsell

My whole Area is here!

VI. Committee Reports**Debate Committee (Underwood)**

KO [*CHSSA representative to NFHS Debate Topic Selection Committee meeting*]: Debate selection committee I attended was very telling and a great learning experience. We could host the meeting, and it might be a good idea. Every state sends a representative that is interested. Observers are also allowed to attend. The goal is to make five topics that are agreed upon, it is culled down to three, then voted on the best of the three. Some do circuit, some do, league, region, etc. It was very enlightening and very valuable. CHSSA gets one vote. Whoever attends from their state is the representative to the organization. Every league ranks the five topics, and then I can turn them in.

UNDERWOOD: Six attended our debate committee meeting and all four areas were represented. We will have five motions under new business. We support four; the fifth is a split on committee (extra bonuses). We will have an amendment for your consideration.

Public Relations Committee (McCoy)

MCCOY: Are people willing to contact outside people? We want sponsors and desire to embed ourselves in Common Core and AP. We need to feed both competition and curriculum. Can you please share your networks and contacts? I can make the initial contact if you wish. I have a Google doc with the necessary questions and facts.

Individual Events Committee (Novak)

NOVACK: We looked at the motions and will share out when they are considered.

Congress Committee (Matley)

MATLEY: Thank you Nermin for helping us with unique rooms at State 2014. A lot of people helped us out at State, thank you! We have a by-law revision forthcoming in January dealing with amendments in round. How does priority work in round? This is one of the questions we are trying to address. According to Bob Stockton, the amenders should have a priority. If you any opinion on this please share it with us before January.

We came up with topic areas for 2015, Ben is posting on line. Please check it out. Choosing topics four months ahead can be dangerous given how fast times change. We are attempting to address that.

KALASHIAN: Thank you for the clarification.

Curriculum Committee (Prichard)
(Above)

VII. Old Business

14-01-C Reuse of Pieces
Call the Question Matley, Second Niemi

MATLEY: In the same year this could cause a problem. Subpoint C is the problem I feel.

CURTIS: We can reword this.

MCCOY: Why?

CURTIS: The intent is not to use the same piece.

LARSEN: Leagues can decide themselves.

JOHNSON: This would be illegit.

NIEMI: Only auto-qual tournaments, not league.

Much confused conversations had by all

Remand to IE committee Larsen, second by Munsell
VOICE VOTE PASSES-REMANDED

VIII. New Business

14-09-A Timing for Point of Order in Parli
Call the Question Abad, Second CURTIS

ABAD: This comes from current practice; the strategy is being used that causes the speaker to not be able to conclude.

GRABER: Is this another thing for students to argue in the round? Is this going to cause more protests.

ABAD: The language is all point of orders would stop the clock.

JOHNSON: The attempt is to minimize the abuse

KALASHIAN: Debate committee supported this 6-0

FRASER: How do we explain this to judges?

PRICHARD: We taped these at State; I stopped the clock when it happened because students were confused. I love this because it is limited.

PREFO: How do we explain it to judges?

JOHNSON: Students keep their own time, they can help regulate it.

KALASHIAN: It sounds like Rita did what was right, but we did not uphold our rules. She did correct, but is not codified.

LARSEN CALLS QUESTION SECOND JAMES
VOICE VOTE PASSES

14-09-B OPP Theme
JOHNSON/ KINDRED SECOND

JOHNSON: This was in the original by-law but dropped off without a vote during housekeeping.

KINDRED: This is needed because in the current rules, poetry would not meet the rule.

NOVAK: IE Committee approved this motion.

CURTIS CALLS QUESTION KINDRED SECOND
VOICE VOTE PASSES

14-09-C Timing in Expos
GRABER/CURTIS SECOND

GRABER: Inconsistent timing when I watched this. Every round seems to be timed differently due to the judge. Some old-time judges do not allow pre-set up. That is the old rule. The first speaker had the advantage because they could set-up prior to speaking, while the other speakers were setting up on the side. It is not very fair that first and last speakers have an advantage. This also clarifies that pre-set up on the side is allowed. I have a friend of the court brief from a successful coach that says this is important.
AKALASHIAN: I a coached a State Champ and I agree with this rule.

JOHNSON PREVIOUS QUESTION MUNSELL SECOND
VOICE VOTE PASSES

14-09-D Oral Attribution to Sources in Original Events
GRABER MOVES LARSEN SECONDS

NOVAK: IE Committee supports this motion.
GRABER: I did not limit this to just OPP, because it needs to consistent with all original speeches. This happened at State last year (*example given*)
CURTIS: This is educationally sound. We need to teach to avoid plagiarism.
JOHNSON: Any quote should be cited. It is a best practice.
GRABER: OPP is a different animal and why I excluded it from the motion.
LARSEN: Friendly amendment to strike "all originals" from the last line because of OPP.
GRABER: OPP is a distinct animal.
LARSEN: Did you want this in general rules?
GRABER: Yes.
KINDRED: I think this is happening everywhere.
PEROFF: 50 words is a bright line. I think that is good. It is easy to build the cite into the speech.
MCCOY: Can we do it in the intro? So as not to mess the flow?

ABAD MOVES TO AMEND SECOND LARSEN to remove final sentence of motion
TWO-THIRDS VOTE IN FAVOR

MATLEY: Section 2 of the by-laws seems clear.

CALL QUESTION ON AMENDMENT by FRASER SECOND LARSEN
LAST SENTENCE STRIKE PASSES

MAIN MOTION CALLED
VOICE PASSES

14-09-E Penalties in Debate
JOHNSON/KINDRED SECOND

UNDERWOOD: Debate Committee supported this in committee

JOHNSON: I think the rationale speaks for itself. The language was changed to make it easier to read. I have heard several times that there are no penalties in debate. This allows the Protest Committee many options to choose from.

KO: I would like to hear more from the Area Chairs think about this.

PREFONTAINE: One option is always to give a lesser penalty. So, the PC does have some discretion.

KELLER-FIRESTONE: I like the wording because it gives options.

MATLEY: This addresses the judges' behavior. This is a significant issue in our league.

JOHNSON: My thought process is that the student has a reference to refer to. I am sick and tired of full disclosure and questions by students. Instead of being tormented in every round, I would rather have all on the same page.

MATLEY: All the penalties are against the student. What about the judge?

LARSEN: Line 2?

FRASER: I like clarity. My pause is over protests resulting in this rule being overly enforced.

LAPIERRE: Round repeated?

FRASER: That would never happen.

JOHNSON: A re-run round may be needed. So you may have a lot of protests the first year, but it would fix itself

ABAD: We could re-run the round under extreme circumstances.

PIELSTICK: This could apply to league qualifiers.

KALASHIAN: This is non-unique. It is not quite clear currently, and this helps gives remedies.

CUMMINGS: At a tournament using CHSSA rules, that was Not State, this would have made the choices better.

FRSASER: Re-running one round delays the entire tournament.

PRICHARD: Re-do a round because a judge changed the procedures.

KALASHIAN CALLS QUESTION LARSEN SECONDS
VOICE VOTE PASSES

Lunch recess 11:38am

Reconvene 1:00pm

14-09-F Definition of "Piece"
JOHNSON/KO

KO: Is this an NRA piece?

JOHNSON: This changes things to be in plain English. The current language means a piece is the whole. We should change the language to be reality.

FRASER: The second part is irrelevant. Focus on the first part. The selection is less than 150 words. Einar wants to clarify the language. The intent is that 150 words or less describes quotation or work.

JOHNSON: I am trying to clarify the language.

GRABER: So we highlight in different colors and count the words. Is that wrong?

FRASER: No, you are doing it correct. The purpose of this is to make the language clear.

GRABER: If quoted twice, do you only count the words the first time?

FRASER: No, but I don't think that is related.

GRABER: It is relating to a "piece." A song that I use each time in transition is counted as a total or only once.

FRASER: Correct.

PREFONTAINE: (*reread the motion*)

GRABER: What did you change? Oh, never mind, it is not bolded.

FRASER: "less than fewer" needs to be added for grammar purposes. Is there a reason that the piece of less than 150 is not okay?

JOHNSON: I disagree.

**GRABER MOVES TO REMAND TO IE COMMITTEE
SECOND FRASER
VOICE VOTE PASSES**

**14-09-G Modify Eye Contact in DUO
JOHNSON/CURTIS SECOND**

JOHNSON: The intent is to clarify the language. I am not sure when eye contact happens. Other people may want play format. I changed this for my preference. The Council may not agree.

CURTIS: I think this is making it easier to judge, because students push the envelope.

KALASHIAN: What was IE recommendation on this?

NOVAK: We did not recommend it be passed because it is too vague when eye contact occurs.

KALASHIAN: I agree, thank you. I know NFL and CHSSA are different; we need some parity as to allow our students the ability to compete at NFL.

KELLER-FIRESTONE: I disagree with the whole idea of interpretation. We would have to change DI and HI as well. I understand what you are trying to do, but we cannot fix it.

CUMMINGS: It seems this tries to solve a problem that students are trying to avoid. But this makes more problems. Touching? This distracts from the event too much when it is a partner event. Part of the art of the event.

LARSEN: If a judge marks that violation, does the team have the ability to challenge?

FRASER: They could protest it.

PREFONTAINE: Two out of three need to mark it on the ballot.

JOHNSON: Protest threat is the fix.

PREFONTAINE: We have heard that before.

**KO MOVES SECOND MUNSELL
DIVISION OF HOUSE 8-17**

**14-09-H Modify PF Examination Rules
JOHNSON/KO SECOND**

JOHNSON: This is because students do not understand the format per se. I reviewed the NFL rules, and it seems consistent. I have seen students walk away from the circle and speak to the judge. The purpose is to ask questions back and forth. This tries to address the tone of the debate. You should have the modified version before you.

CHERTOK: Are we really trying to regulate tone? I like passion in their speech.

JOHNSON: They are being uncivil if they are not allowing others to ask questions. Cross-Fire is not about yelling at each other.

PREFONTAINE: They should conduct themselves well.

CURTIS: The one I have is different than the one we got emailed.

MATLEY: I agree with the purpose, but this should also be on the ballot.

SARVEY: It is included in the judging instruction we edited at last meeting.

MATLEY: If it has always been there, then what is the purpose of this?

JOHNSON: Codification.

CUMMINGS: I do not see H4. Where is that going to go?

JOHNSON: I may have gotten the numbers wrong.

CUMMINGS: We need to regulate this in all debate events then.

JOHNSON: Policy debate has a section on conduct all ready.

PIELSTICK: CX is different in LD and Policy. Cross-Fire is back and forth, not one way questioning.

ABAD: I am concerned about the language because this does not clarify the language. Is this really what we want?

FRASER: This is not enforceable. Given the motion on debate penalties, this is more trouble.

JOHNSON: Rules help everyone play on an even playing field. We have a fair amount of anarchy in debate currently. I am trying to give a little direction.

KELLER-FIRESTONE: Without the law on your side for specifics, this is impossible to adjudicate in the PC.

CHERTOK: As a judge and coach, saying something like this restricts the judge. PF is laymen's debate. I would have my team stop the other.

JOHNSON: Split the motion. NO SECOND.

FRASER CALL QUESTION LARSEN SECONDS
DIVISION OF THE HOUSE 11-9-6

14-09-I Unfilled State Qual Spots
FRASER/KO SECOND

FRASER: Originally the rules said you had to have 8 schools and 5 in the event. Years back we got rid of 5 schools for an event. That caused for spots not to be filled. We did have a procedure at that time and used the chart in the by-laws. Recently, this is happening more. We want to go back to how we do allocations. We use bonuses to level out and reward. It makes the unfilled spots in the bonus rotation, versus the chart that was not created for this.

JOHNSON: I have sent a motion to amend. Take Nermin's concept but make it within the Area rather than rewarding other Areas.

14-09-I Amended
JOHNSON/UNDERWOOD
20% in favor

FRASER: I did not want to do this as a friendly amendment because it gives an option and gives consistently to how we do bonuses.

KALASHIAN: The amendment proposed, says it would stay in the Area and the league that does best would be rewarded. This seems fair.

SARVEY: That is the intent, but the wording follows the desire of Nermin. I think what it says to the intent are different. We should discuss how to say it.

FRASER: I was looking at how this would benefit the students. YFL will never get a debate bonus. Currently SVFL gets them each time.

KALASHIAN: There are other options. We need to keep it in the Area.

LARSEN: I like keeping things in the same geographic area. The amendment seems to keep it in the Area, but the language does not say that. This is an illusion.

KO: What is the intent? (*Hypothetical given*)

KALASHIAN: We did not get to that sentence in the Motion. Nermin came in our meeting and argued for giving it around the State.

KO: It seems like the most equitable is to take out "eligible" and use the same chart for bonuses as we currently use.

JOHNSON: I am open to modify language and I am in favor of remanding.

FRASER: We have to have this now. OI had punishments; we need to figure out where those go.

JOHNSON: I am open to modify it.

FRASER: By modifying it we end up in the same occurrence. By rotating it through everyone, it is fairer.

CURTIS: It could be solved by saying the Area then the State.

PREFONTAINE: That is a new amendment.

GRABER: The reason to change it is equity?

FRASER: Yes.

GRABER: The original purpose?

FRASER: It was meant to be used for bonuses, not lack of entries.

(A TON OF CONFUSING COMMENTS MADE FOR QUITE A WHILE)

JOHNSON: What are we trying to do here? Are we trying to help new programs?

KELLER-FIRESTONE: We are talking about OI at this point, correct?

FRASER: Now, but we need clarification for the process. This does not affect Area 1.

KINDRED: If they cannot fill slots, they cannot.

FRASER: This benefits the smaller leagues, not the larger.

LARSEN: "Qualified."

FRASER: This will be an Area 2,3,4 issue, not Area 1.

CHERTOK: May be not all of our students should have been there, but if you eliminate us as a league, we will not ever get those bonus or unfilled spots.

ABAD: For instance of time, keep the current system, and have by-law committee address this in January.

MOVE TO REMAND BOTH MOTIONS TO ALL THREE STANDING COMMITTEES UNTIL
JANUARY

KELLER-FIRESTON MOVES MUNSELL SECONDS
VOICE VOTE PASSES TO REMAND TOSTANDING COMMITTEES

14-09-J Hall of Fame Plaque
FRASER/LARSEN SECOND

FRASER: I am bringing this forward for Stanford Chandler.

ABAD: Minor cost, it is a nice gesture.

UNDERWOOD: Draw a bright line for whom to send to?

MATLEY: Any past recipient may ask for it. That seems easier.

CERTOK MOVES MUNSELL SECONDS
VOICE PASSES

14-09-K Competitive Year
FRASER/JAMES

FRASER: We have references to things that should happen in a year, this makes it simple in our definitions and is housekeeping.

KO: Novice tournament after State?

FRASER: Yes, that would be legal to use.

CURTIS: TOC or Nats pieces?

GRABER: In theory you could win Nats and use the same piece the next school year.

MANAGAHAS: A national champion piece is not fair.

LARSEN: It would not happen.

FRASER: This means novices, honestly.

CUMMINGS: We as coaches have more integrity.

JOHNSON: How many novice tournaments are had after State?

FRASER: Some leagues have tournaments after State.

MANAGAHAS: A student qualifies for Nats and takes a piece there, this would be unfair.

'KRAUSE: Doesn't this benefit novice students more than top students. If we are looking at abuse versus benefit, benefit outweighs.

CUMMINGS: If someone switched pieces before Nats, you are going to have to worry about them anyways.

GRABER: In Oratory for instance, this would happen.

FRASER: We can change the date; I just want to help novices.

KALASHIAN: I agree with Nermin, in our league we allow a novice in May to use the same speech in September. Novices will benefit more than top speakers.

JOHNSON: Novice will benefit—let's pass it.

SMITH MOVES KRAUSE SECONDS
VOICE VOTE PASSES

14-09-L Scholarships to Honorariums
FRASER/CURTIS

FRASER: The problem is the process goes through financial aid office and we help the college, not the students. They never get the check immediately. Neil does not want to do this because he does not want to give 1099 tax form. I would like to read Neil's response.

PREFONTAINE: Please email it to the council.

CURTIS: Our league gives them a check on the spot. It is easy. It should be that way.

JOHNSON: I want to hear what Neil has to say --may we remand this?

KELLER-FIRESTONE: They could do anything with the money.

FRASER: Not a disadvantage. It is not much money. I just do not want to underwrite the college.

CUMMINGS: Money directly to the student is the same as the college. The student earned the money.

CALL THE QUESTION CURTIS SECOND KINDRED
VOICE VOTE PASSES

14-09-M OI Sourcing
FRASER/JAMES

FRASER: IE Committee supported this. Last year we had three students compete with OI's that did not meet the criteria. This puts the burden on the student, and not the league president.

PREFO: What is proof?

FRASER: It would have a recorded date and place. Due diligence.

CURTIS: What is the proof required? What about TED?

FRASER: Research it.

CUMMINGS: The coversheet all ready asks for this.

FRASER: They are giving a different speech or just stating the date and place with no proof.

KELLER-FIRESTONE: The irony is that the material said where it was given.

FRASER: Make it on the first page after the cover page then.

KALASHIAN: It says reputable evidence. Can I also check on the Internet for a different source?

FRASER: Yes.

KALASHIAN: Clarify then. Can I only use a speech given the first time as a public address?

KINDRED: The reason why this may be necessary is as Area Chair, this is impossible to check as AC. This puts the burden on the speaker.

PREFO: That student Jennifer is referring to was DQ'd.

GRABER: "What is Poverty" was not composed as a public address but it is included in books of speeches. That seems legitimate.

FRASER: Reputable evidence. The published book says when and where it was given.

MCCOY: This rule penalizes the student.

FRASER: Last year we punished the student and not the league president. That was not fair.

JOHNSON: The real problem is the term original delivery.

FRASER: That is new.

JOHNSON: Why not fix the problem?

LARSEN: If this passes then I have to tell my league to have to meet these standards?

FRASER: Yes.

KALASHIAN: All we are asking is OI to have a little proof; it brings it alongside the other events.

GRABER: Before the Internet, we had a problem like this. *Esquire Magazine* 50th Anniversary example shared.

QUESTION CALLED CHERTOK SECOND CURTIS
DIVISION OF THE HOUSE 16-4-5

14-09-N Paying Dues
FRASER/LARSEN

FRASER: This changes the language to mail versus email. We have also had a big problem with people sending things in late. League presidents have to track people down. We need to be consistent. We need procedures. This makes an appeal process.

CURTIS: I am in favor but what about late entries due to drop?

FRASER: That is all ready addressed.

JOHNSON: What is the penalty.

California High School Speech Association

FRASER: Monetary fines to penalties. DQ could be included for egregious examples.

GRABER: So how practical is this?

FRASER: Through email. That is the current practice.

GRABER: What if the four [should be five, protest committee members] disagree in 72 hours?

FRASER: 72 hours to file the appeal, not adjudicate.

KELLER-FIRESTONE: We have an odd number

**CALL THE QUESTION CURTIS/SECOND LARSEN
VOICE PASSES**

**14-09-O Judging Finals
FRASER/LARSEN SECOND**

FRASER: We never have enough judges for these late rounds. This is a pain for the Judges House.

GRABER: This is not new language but we break the language all the time.

**LARSEN MOVES/SECOND CURTIS
VOICE VOTE PASSES**

**14-09-P Student Eligibility
FRASER/LARSEN**

GRABER: Why student eligibility?

FRASER: Should be State Judges, not Student Eligibility. School judges should be coaches. Sometimes it is not. We cannot police the category of each judge. Last year, this came up. If a league took the time to check judges, this would not be a problem. Proportionality is the purpose of this motion.

GRABER: Some schools cannot get judges. This frightens me.

ABAD: I think this is a huge issue. This is a trend at State tournaments for years. I think there are other topics too big, but this year I talked to Brent, and he said we use more judges than any other state.

FRASER: Five policy teams equals one judge.

KALASHIAN: It is tough for coaches to judge during the tournament. Invitationals are strict, but State is still less than other tournaments. If I know before starting, then all is good.

CURTIS: What type of judges?

FRASER: The only rule currently is the head coach must judge or be cleared not to by the Area Chair.

KO: The outside leagues have to bring more?

FRASER: No. That was a typo, I will fix it.

KO: So a school judge may not be used.

FRASER: That is not true.

KO: Third, we are trying to do this, but you are insisting they are full judges?

FRASER: We can work with Brent.

UNDERWOOD: Since when can leagues judge their own competitors?

FRASER: Five years ago.

GRABER: Bottom-line, we need more judges.

FRASER: More consistent judges.

GRASBER: May be we should look at this is a more creative manner. Look at Terry's example.

FRASER: The judge must be tied to a school for JOT.

California High School Speech Association

ABAD: This is too large to decide now. We MUST take this back to our leagues. We cannot go through another situation as last year.

LARSEN: This is not a huge change. We worked hard to get judges.

KINDRED: I argue pass this now, then better idea, bring it in January.

UNDERWOOD: Doing number 1 and 2, that eliminated recruiting judges?

FRASER: Correct. May be a college could be assigned to a school for a few.

JOHNSON: Based on the number of college judges that did not show-up last year, this does not fix the problem. You are assuming that college judges will show up because I will be fined.

FRASER: We need a certain number of judges to run a tournament. Bottom-line. We owe it to the league to help them.

PIELSTICK: So what is in the by-laws?

FRASER: I do not have to put this in the by-laws. I can make up the ratios on my own according to the powers of office.

PIELSTICK: Our requirements for State are easier.

FRASER: A school could buy-out.

JOHNSON: POI, how many coaches do not meet the requirement?

FRASER: I write them and the league president and inform them.

JOHNSON: So if we hammer down on the coaches also then that would be good.

FRASER: Yes.

KALASHIAN: We need to help the tournament run better

KALASHIAN MOVES QUESTION LARSEN SECONDS
DIVISION OF THE HOUSE 19-6-0 PASSES

14-09-Q State Entry Corrections
MUNSELL/KINDRED

KINDRED: Part of this is complicated by spring break. Notification requires action within 72 hours, 5 days if script is illegal. This makes for accountability. Half filled out entry forms...all of these are included.

GRABER: we discussed this is IE committee because of timeframe. What is I cannot get a hold of the student. Nermin said the coach could fix it. What if it is an introduction, 72 hours is too short of time. Some of my students cannot be reached over a weekend.

PIELSTICK: Script revisions at State allowed?

FRASER: Only if a student is bumped up before the tournament.

PIELSTICK: Two weeks is the deadline?

FRASER: Yes.

PEROFF: People need to be held accountable.

MATLEY: Clarification. Three weeks out from State, 72 hours still imposed?

FRASER: Yes. Unless it is a new script. Then 5 days.

CHERTOK CALLS QUESTION LARSEN SECONDS
VOICE VOTE PASSES

IX. GOOD OF THE ORDER

LEGISLATION

NIEMI: In January I would like to make the motion that any legislation made during the competitive year become effective during the next year. This would mean that clean Constitution/By-laws and Cover Sheets would be available October 1 of each year. This is the norm for most organizations, and avoids the "knowledge gap" created by poor communication by leagues at times with their member schools. We currently have a "gentlemen's agreement" concerning passing rules changes in January, this would further codify that unwritten tradition and level the playing field for those people not sitting around this table.

MAY MEETING

KALASHIAN: I like CHSSA meetings, but I would like to propose to get rid of the May meeting. I know we must meet twice in the year. Think about getting rid of one meeting...May is my recommendation. You may wish for another. I would like the Body to look at this in January.

DVD JUDGE VIDEO

PRICHARD: We need \$4000 for the judge DVD.

MOVED BY LARSEN/SECOND DARLING

JOHNSON: There is much conflict on judge instructions. I would like to review what the material is.

PRICHARD: No problem at all. Easy breezy.

FRASER: The video should be addressed to State.

PEROFF: We need it for leagues though. We can deal with that.

**KALASHIAN MOVES MUNSELL SECONDS
VOICE VOTE PASSES**

January 10, 2015 next CHSSA Meeting at San Diego Crowne Plaza

Meeting adjourned at 4:35pm

Respectfully submitted by Reed Niemi

CHSSA Secretary